

AN INTRODUCTION
TO
PHILOSOPHY

THE PERENNIAL PRINCIPLES
OF THE CLASSICAL REALIST TRADITION

By

Daniel J. Sullivan

TAN BOOKS AND PUBLISHERS, INC.
Rockford, Illinois 61105

On the other hand, theology exercises a kind of negative jurisdiction over philosophy and the empirical sciences, in the sense that where there is an apparent contradiction between reason and faith, the theologian claims the right, in view of the infinitely more sure source of his truth, to tell the philosopher or the scientist that he has erred somewhere and must go over his reasons again. "For if in what the philosophers have said we come upon something that is contrary to faith, this does not belong to philosophy but is rather an abuse of philosophy arising from a defect in reason."

To sum up: Wisdom is knowledge about the ultimate reality, the unchanging being of God Himself, but is realized according to different lights: philosophical wisdom according to the natural light of reason; theological wisdom according to the light of faith. The two wisdoms, though related, are distinct, and to confuse one with the other is to destroy it. *Philosophy therefore seeks its goal by the natural light of reason alone.*

THE DEFINITION OF PHILOSOPHY

We now have all the elements necessary for us to define philosophy in its strict sense. First, we have seen that it differs from the everyday knowledge of common sense in that it is scientific knowledge of things through their causes. Second, since philosophy studies being itself it shares with common sense an interest in the whole of reality as against the parceling out of reality which is characteristic of the natural sciences. Our third point is that philosophy differs from the physical sciences not only in terms of the greater universality of its subject matter, but also in terms of its approach to its subject matter: whereas the physical sciences concern themselves with proximate or secondary causes, philosophy deals with first or ultimate causes. Finally, philosophy differs from revealed theology in that it uses reason alone to reach its answers, whereas the light of theology is the light of faith.

Our definition of philosophy epitomizes all these elements: *Philosophy is the knowledge of all things in their first principles or causes as seen by the natural light of reason.*

This definition of philosophy has grown out of the gradual differ-

entiation in time of the various orders of knowledge. This differentiation unfortunately was not achieved without violence and difficulty, each order of knowledge — theology, philosophy, mathematics, the empirical sciences — all tyrannically claiming at one time or another to be the sole interpreter of reality.

The truth is that the full knowledge of anything comprises all that can be discovered about it on all the levels of investigation — scientific, mathematical, and philosophic; and the man of religious faith will further integrate this knowledge with what revelation tells him in those areas where philosophy opens onto theology. We separate out the various aspects of a thing for detailed study, but only in order to make a final integration which will restore all those separate facets of the thing into a unified whole. In brief, we "distinguish in order to unite."¹⁹

CHRISTIAN PHILOSOPHY

Considered in its essence according as we have just defined it, philosophy to the extent that its nature is fully realized must be the same for all men at all times and all places. In this strict sense there cannot be a Christian philosophy any more than there can be a Christian mathematics or a Christian grammar, for philosophy as such, in its pure nature, is the work of reason alone — "the perfect work of reason," St. Thomas calls it.²⁰

But the definition of philosophy is an abstract essence, and abstractions are not found except in a mind. Philosophy is in fact formulated by living men who are part of an age and environment which they cannot help but reflect — if only in their choice of problems — in their philosophizing. It is in this context, the *state* of philosophy, the concrete conditions under which it is realized, as contrasted with the bare essence or nature of philosophy, such as we have expressed it in our definition, that it becomes possible to speak of Christian philosophy (or of Indian philosophy, or of Marxist philosophy). Thus with reference to the Middle Ages we can quite properly speak of a Christian philosophy as

¹⁹ For the interrelationship of the different levels of knowledge and the divisions of philosophy, see Charts on p. 260.

²⁰ *Summa Theologiae*, II-II, 45, 2.

contrasted with Arabian or Jewish philosophy; or, in the case of modern philosophy we can speak of English philosophy as against the philosophy of the Continent.

In a period such as the European Middle Ages when the Christian religion predominated, it was inevitable that the dominant theological preoccupations of the time should be reflected in philosophy. This relationship could be a harmful one, as when, for example, theology attempted to swallow up philosophy, but in general the interchange between philosophy and theology which gives Christian philosophy its distinctive character has been a fruitful one for philosophy. Under the stimulus of theology, philosophy has investigated areas of reality which might otherwise have lain fallow forever. In the endeavor to explain the data of revelation, philosophers have explored and deepened such key concepts as those of nature, personality, freedom. There has even been a more positive contribution in the actual disclosure to philosophy of truths hitherto ignored or but dimly perceived; the definition of God as being, for example, comes from the Scriptures; the distinction between nature and person is another such gift of theology to philosophy.²¹ Negatively, the external control of theology over philosophy has helped to keep it from error.

Besides the work of enlightening and fertilizing the human reason, the Christian sees in theology the further effect of healing and elevating. For although philosophy by essence is solely dependent on the natural reason, and is therefore autonomous in its own order, as realized existentially by actual, living, human beings, "it benefits by being exercised in a subject enjoying the radically changed conditions of existence effected gratuitously by the life of grace and the infused intellectual and moral virtues and gifts, in whom they mutually strengthen each other and are integrated into a vital synergy."²²

In the light of this relationship between philosophy and theology, Jacques Maritain defines Christian philosophy as "philosophy it-

²¹ On this point see the masterly study of Professor Gilson, *The Spirit of Mediaeval Philosophy*, translated by A. H. C. Downes (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1936).

²² Emmanuel Chapman, "Living Thomism," in *The Thomist*, Vol. IV, No. 3 (July, 1942), p. 385.

self in so far as it is situated in those utterly distinctive conditions of existence and exercise into which Christianity has ushered the thinking subject, and as a result of which philosophy *perceives* certain objects and *validly demonstrates* certain propositions, which in any other circumstances would to a greater or lesser extent elude it."²³ Professor Gilson points out that Christian philosophy is a family of philosophies, including in its extent "all those philosophical systems which were in fact what they were only because a Christian religion existed and because they were ready to submit to its influence." Against this background he defines Christian philosophy as "every philosophy which, although keeping the two orders formally distinct, nevertheless considers the Christian revelation as an indispensable auxiliary to reason."²⁴

It remains true, nevertheless, that no matter what its conditions of formation or exercise within the individual philosopher, the worth of any philosophy depends when all is said and done upon its truth, the firmness with which it is based on rational evidence and the rigor with which it demonstrates its conclusions, so that if a philosophy that calls itself Christian falls short of what the essence of philosophy demands, to that extent it is a decadent philosophy.

THE PERENNIAL PHILOSOPHY

What should we call the philosophy we have been trying to develop in the course of this work? Many names are possible. For instance, since it is a philosophy developed in accordance with the principles of Aristotle, it can be called the Aristotelian philosophy. This is not to say that it is a mere re-working of Aristotle's philosophy. It implies rather that we have built upon certain basic insights into the nature of reality which were first disclosed by Aristotle. And what was first seen by Aristotle to be the way things are, is still the way things are, for the structure of reality does not change from generation to generation. But while the

²³ *An Essay on Christian Philosophy* (New York: Philosophical Library, 1955), p. 30.

²⁴ *The Spirit of Mediaeval Philosophy* (New York: Sheed and Ward, Inc., 1936), p. 37.

philosophy of Aristotle is in this sense ageless, it is also true that by the very nature of its constitution as a science always open to the mystery of being it is susceptible to endless growth and enrichment. The treasures of intelligibility enfolded in the least act of existence are inexhaustible, so that while the basis of philosophy does not change, its horizon is boundless; philosophy will be finished only when the last metaphysician on earth for the last time closes his eyes on being.

If you wish to emphasize the rock-solid foundation of our philosophy in the nature of things as they are, you can call it the Realist philosophy. Stressing the collective labor which has gone into its elaboration over the centuries, it may be termed the Common philosophy. Or, since metaphysics is the archstone of our philosophy, we can call it the philosophy of being.

Among those who have expressed the philosophy of being in a Christian context, St. Thomas Aquinas is outstanding. Much in the way that Aristotle gathered up and synthesized all that had been done before him in philosophy, so did St. Thomas in his day epitomize in a new and daring synthesis all that was worth rescuing in the name of truth. He did more. He deepened his Aristotelian inheritance so profoundly as in effect to transform it, for in virtue of his unique metaphysical intuition of existence, philosophy with St. Thomas "for the first time in its long history was able to reach deeper than the level of inextinguishable essences to the fathomless undercurrents of existence irradiated by them."²⁶ Those for whom St. Thomas has thus transposed the philosophy of being from an essentialist to an existentialist key will frequently signify this profound transformation by calling the philosophy of being Thomist rather than Aristotelian.

Stressing the great teachers who have elaborated and transmitted the philosophy of being in the schools of Europe, the name Scholastic is sometimes used as synonymous with the Thomistic philosophy. The term is open to serious objection, however, in that it is equally used to designate the philosophy taught in general

²⁶ Emmanuel Chapman, "To Be — That Is the Answer," in the Maritain volume of *The Thomist* (Jan., 1943), p. 144.

in the medieval universities — which was in fact a good deal more likely to be Augustinian than Aristotelian in its inspiration.

The ultimate appeal of any philosophy, however, will be not in terms of its originators or its teachers, but in terms of its truth. In the language of St. Thomas himself, "the study of philosophy is that we may know not what men have taught but what the truth of things is."²⁶ In the sense that it is the expression of truth, and therefore everlasting, the philosophy of being is called the perennial philosophy, the enduring philosophy. Given this emphasis, the perennial philosophy is not the particular philosophy of any person or school, but simply the philosophy collectively worked out through the centuries by innumerable anonymous toilers in the vineyard of truth. The perennial philosophy, in short, is philosophy itself, which because it is true is therefore perdurable.

Philosophy is perennial in another sense, in its need for constant renewal. For although the principles of philosophy are timeless, they are nevertheless worked out in time, and it is the task of the philosopher to incarnate the bloodless abstractions of philosophy afresh for each new generation, and confronting the ever new and ever more complex problems of society, to bring "new treasures out of old." In the words of Emmanuel Chapman, "The perennial philosophy by its very nature must be always freshly present. Not ancient or neo, but current and living, it should be ready to answer the most crucial questions of today. The philosophy in touch with existence has the challenge within itself to deepen and perfect itself, and keep itself in a constant state of renewal."²⁷

²⁶ *In De Coelo et Mundo*, I, x, lect. 22.

²⁷ *Loc. cit.*, p. 152.